

**101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**1. CWP No.8988 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : November 30, 2016**

Banarsi Dass and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another Respondents

**2. CWP No.10207 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : November 30, 2016**

A.S. Malhotra and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another Respondents

**3. CWP No.10318 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : November 30, 2016**

Inder Singh Hooda and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another Respondents

**4. CWP No.11288 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : November 30, 2016**

Harnek Singh and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another Respondents

**5. CWP No.11654 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : November 30, 2016**

Dr. V.K. Sharma and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another Respondents

For Subsequent orders see CWP-10207-2015, CWP-10318-2015, CWP-11288-2015 and 4 more.

6. CWP No.11702 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : November 30, 2016

Inderjeet Bharti and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another Respondents

7. CWP No.22924 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : November 30, 2016

Amrik Singh and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Mandeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner
in CWP No.8988 of 2015.

Mr. K.K. Garg, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP No.10207 of 2015.

Mr. C.M. Chopra, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP No.10318 of 2015.

Mr. K.L. Arora, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP No.11288 of 2015.

Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP Nos.11654, 11702 and 22924 of 2015.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

This order of mine shall dispose of seven civil writ petitions bearing CWP No.8988, 10207, 10318, 11288, 11654, 11702 and 22924 of 2015, having identical issue. For brevity, the facts have been extracted from

CWP No.8988 of 2015

For Subsequent orders see CWP-10207-2015, CWP-10318-2015, CWP-11288-2015 and 4 more.

Learned counsel for the State has placed on file the application along with copy of letter dated 07.11.2016 of the Principal Secretary to Government Haryana, Higher Education Department, Chandigarh, addressed to the Director Higher Education, Haryana, Panchkula, vide which the revised pension has been allowed.

Learned State Counsel, on instruction from Preet Singh, Assitant, has undertaken that the said revised pension is allowed to the employees, who have retired prior to 01.01.2006 and the Government shall pay interest as per Government instructions on the delayed payment.

Learned counsel for the petitioners are fully satisfied with the abovenoted undertaking of the Government. Therefore, they want to withdraw their respective writ petitions.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

The arrears be released to the petitioners within three months from today.

सत्यमेव जयते

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

November 30, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No